

LARRY ROMANOFF — America's Deep State America's Deep State By Larry Romanoff, February 24, 2023

Under the guidance of the invisible people, many members of the establishment's think-tanks are increasingly, and openly, arguing that US democracy today is "incapable of meeting the challenges facing the country", concluding that the US needs a new "top down" solution that would be exercised by executive power independent of the elected Congress. Several people including NYT columnist Thomas Friedman have argued that "power should shift from contentious, ideologically diverse elected bodies subject to pressure from the 'lower orders', toward credentialed "experts" operating in Washington, Brussels or the United Nations." Perhaps it needs to be stated clearly that the "contentious, ideologically diverse bodies" are the elected Congress and lower house, and the "lower orders" being dismissed with a whiff of contempt are of course the people. The secret government now openly promotes that the elected government be sidelined as contentious and irrelevant, with power transferred to the same Zionist European bankers – the 'credentialed experts' – who already control much of the world behind the scenes. We have now become so bold that democracy is being openly dismissed while the de facto government slowly emerges from the shadows as an authoritarian dictatorship controlled from Europe.

If you recall, these are precisely the sentiments voiced by Lippman and Bernays almost 100 years earlier, claiming the 'bewildered herd' needed guidance and engineered consent from the "intelligent few". This also mirrors the conclusions from the Trilateral Commission's report on its crisis of democracy, again boldly stating that Americans needed to be "properly indoctrinated" so as to limit (or eliminate) public involvement in political democracy. As to the foolish nonsense about American-style democracy requiring a highly-educated population, I believe it was Andrew Bacevich who wrote in 'The Limits of Power: The End of American Exceptionalism': "For US-style democracy it is important that the candidates be not only incompetent but as uninformed as the voters. It is only from this fundamental ignorance that the 'wise men', the policy intellectuals that form the secret government, are able to control the White House and Congress."

Bernays' secret government and the European bankers have cherished this plan of an American fascist dictatorship for many decades, a plan that almost succeeded when a group of Jewish financiers and George H. W. Bush's father made their abortive attempt at a military coup in 1934. Nevertheless, clandestine control of the US government is already fact, even in the absence of a formal proclamation. The plan has been developing in the dark for decades by the bankers, the

Foundations and think-tanks and the Bilderberg Group, and is increasingly coming into the open. The American people have no more authentic control over their government than do people in countries we call dictatorships, neither on foreign policy nor on domestic economic and social issues. The truth is that America's elected politicians have no influence over most important activities of the secret government that runs America today. Those who control the executive branch often operate not only entirely outside the law but in many cases entirely outside the very constitution of the United States. Kanya D'Almeida wrote that "the richest one percent has hijacked the very foundations of democracy", that corporate capital had infected the body politic despite the constitution and separation of powers. And in fact, they have taken advantage of the separation of powers by focusing their efforts on the enormous transfer of power to the White House which they control. As stated earlier, one of the tools has been the use of Presidential Directives, creating a vast network of secret and private law and policy under the guise of national security imperatives.

One news article reported statements from a former high-level Department of Justice official that Congress was aware the powers behind the White House had revised their social control programs and implemented many illegal practices after 9-11, and that Congress had tried and failed to learn how extreme were these programs and how serious was the lawbreaking. It stated the White House insisted on maintaining secrecy, in fact on hiding from the elected Congress most information related to dozens of presidential directives that dictated not only US national security policy but most foreign policy. The content and substance of these presidential directives, which have the force of law, are still unknown, the White House simply defying Congress and refusing to reveal its actions. It was in this context that Thomas Drake wrote "Since the government unchained itself from the constitution after 9/11, it has been eating our democracy alive from the inside out. The rulers of America are despotic elites who are living in fear and trepidation of their own people and of people power around the world rising in rebellion against the misrule of capitalism." New York Mayor John Hylan stated a bit earlier, "The little coterie of powerful international bankers virtually run the United States government for their own selfish purposes. They practically control both political parties".

In his book *The New Freedom*, written in 1913, Woodrow Wilson wrote "Since I entered politics, I have chiefly had men's views confided to me privately. Some of the biggest men in the United States, in the Field of commerce and manufacture, are afraid of something. They know that there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they better

not speak above their breath when they speak in condemnation of it.” Senator William Jenner wrote in 1954, “Today the path of total dictatorship in the United States can be laid by strictly legal means, unseen and unheard by the Congress, the President, or the people. Outwardly we have a Constitutional government. We have operating within our government and political system, another body representing another form of government – a bureaucratic elite.”

President Theodore Roosevelt wrote in 1913, “These International bankers and Rockefeller-Standard Oil interests control the majority of newspapers and the columns of these papers to club into submission or rive out of public office officials who refuse to do the bidding of the powerful corrupt cliques which compose the invisible government.” Congressman Louis McFadden, Chairman of the Banking and Currency Committee from 1920 to 1931, wrote in 1932: “When the Federal Reserve Act was passed, the people of these United States did not perceive that a world banking system was being set up here – a super-state controlled by international bankers and international industrialists acting together to enslave the world for their own pleasure. Every effort has been made by the Fed to conceal its powers but the truth is – the Fed has usurped the government.” US Senator Daniel Inouye, said at the end of the Iran-Contra hearings, “There exists a shadowy government with its own Air Force, its own Navy, its own fundraising mechanism, and the ability to pursue its own ideas of national interest, free from all checks and balances, and free from the law itself.”

I have written elsewhere of the White House using the Justice Department to extort compliance from the banks in imposing illegal economic sanctions on political enemies, and the Department claiming it had no obligation to divulge anything to the elected government. The entire Justice Department has been hijacked, and no longer reports to Congress. The Commerce Department, the State Department, the CIA, NSA, FBI, and the military, all report to the secret government through the White House.

A recent US media article on NSA spying stated: “An attempt to cut off funding for the NSA’s collection of phone data suffered a surprisingly narrow defeat, 217 to 205. The amendment was in no danger of becoming law – the Senate would have killed it and, if all else failed, President Obama would have vetoed it. But it put the intelligence establishment on notice: The spooks don’t decide how far is too far. We do.” An online reader produced an excellent summation of what he called “this gem of reasoning”. His comment:

“The NSA has for years operated a massive, secret, worldwide data-vacuuming system, against all domestic and international law. When discovered, US legislators presented a bill to cut off NSA funding. The bill failed, but no matter, because even if it had passed the House, the Senate would have killed it. And if the Senate didn’t kill it, the White House would have vetoed it. The Legislative Branch quite evidently has no remaining control over even such politically serious issues that affect the fundamentals of personal rights, privacy, law-breaking on a massive scale, the creation of a police-state system, international relations and, of course, expense. This outstanding example of the total and frightening absence of legislative control proves that “the people are in charge”. Got it.”

In March of 2012 Kenneth Lieberthal and Wang Jisi published an important position paper for the Brookings Institute on the topic of US-China “strategic distrust”, for which they claimed input from many “top American leaders”, but which omitted the elected representatives of the US government, rendering Congress pointedly conspicuous by its absence, at least from the definition of ‘top leaders’. The paper’s most telling point in this context was a statement by Lieberthal that in the most vital issues affecting not only the US but the world, Congress played no active part but was “able to make its concerns felt” about activities undertaken by the White House and the real government. So, in an imaginary “democracy” where the elected representatives of the people are supposedly supreme, the best they can do is ‘make their concerns felt’ to those who really control the country.

What greater admission could we have of an emasculated Congress that has virtually been stripped of its functions, forcibly pushed to the side as a kind of nuisance advisory body? Items like the budget and trade are insignificant here; what matters in this context are the CIA, the NSA, the military, the Libyas and Iraqs and Irans, the FED and the bankers, and the judiciary, and in these matters, Congress has apparently become irrelevant. Again, these are not the signs of a democracy, not even a fairy-tale one. Americans fervently boast of the many checks and balances in their vaunted democracy, but this myth was never real and certainly isn’t real today. We maintain the pretense of a democracy by conducting elections for a Congress that no longer retains any real power, but the people continue to preach the religion while God is already dead. Only the church has been preserved to maintain the illusion.

A striking example is the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) being promoted today. The US Congress has exclusive authority over trade policy, but the secret government drafted documents and commissioned the conducting of negotiations

not only in secret but with a refusal to even let Congress have knowledge of the contents. One congressman was permitted to see only a few sections of this trade agreement, and only then in an isolated room without camera, computer or even a pencil. There are many legitimate fears of the illegality of much of this legislation and of its attempts to emasculate the national sovereignty of all participating nations, yet the White House claims national security as a basis for demanding that Congress pass this massive legislation without information as to its contents. The concentration of power in the White House and the simultaneous rendering of Congress to irrelevancy have reached an extent that would be the envy of most dictators.

Consider again the TPP. This vastly ambitious program of imperial over-reach was not conceived by either Obama or the Commerce Department but by the invisible people who pull the puppet strings and who are using the White House and Cabinet as a false front to achieve their commercial objectives. Neither Obama nor his Commerce Secretary have the imagination or belligerent greed to have concocted such a scheme, nor the hubris to assume they could bully Congress into passing the bill without knowledge of its contents. Neither Obama nor the Commerce Department are such pathological bullies as to demand that the Pacific nations sign the agreement sight unseen. No, this was entirely conceived by the puppet-masters using the White House and government structure as tools to further their own personal hegemonic ambitions. This was not the result of a strong President dictating to a weak Congress and boldly assuming powers forbidden to him by the Constitution, but a weak and ambitious President being willingly manipulated by the power behind the throne and a Congress threatened, bullied and bribed into obedience.

Similarly, we did not have “Bush’s war” or “Obama’s war” but rather the secret government’s war conducted through the White House without reference to Congress, having used the White House as a front and the US military as a tool to achieve their commercial and political objectives. Considering only Iraq and Libya, the secret government used the US military as a personal army to conduct a private war against two innocent nations from which more than \$100 billion was plundered for the personal profit of a few powerful bankers and corporate owners, in a place far enough away that nobody knew what was happening, and with no oversight, no reporting to Congress and no accounting to the world for the death and destruction.

obama full sitting reuters.jpg

No president has authority to undertake such devastating military adventures on his whim but, when Obama was pushed to launch his months-long military destruction of Libya, that travesty was planned and launched entirely without reference to Congress, an open admission of its irrelevance. Only Congress has authority to declare war or to permit belligerent military engagements, but in each case the power behind the White House simply re-wrote the rules and re-defined the terms. Those in the secret government then proceeded to fulfil their agenda without a whimper of objection from Congress, a silent testimony to the power of AIPAC and the Jewish lobby that not only wanted the wars and arranged their financing but indirectly provided the financing of the election campaigns of most Senators and Congressmen. Even more, during a time when the US government was placing staff on forced unpaid vacations and tourist attractions across the country were all closed for lack of funds, the secret government had no difficulty in finding the cash to destroy Libya and another \$100 billion for its attempt on Syria.

Victoria Nuland and Geoffrey Pyatt, Kiev, 10 December

Victoria Nuland and Geoffrey Pyatt together toured the opposition camp in Kiev in December 2013

In similar vein, we can recall State Department employee Victoria Nuland boasting of the \$5 billion spent on destabilising Ukraine and overthrowing its government during this same time of empty bank accounts. We also have her conversation on Ukraine that was posted online for the world, where she famously dismissed Europe's objections to the forcible and violent overthrow of Ukraine's government by saying, "Fuck the EU", and continued to decide the new puppet rulers of that country. Note that it wasn't the White House deciding who would be acceptable as new leaders of the Ukraine, nor was it the US Congress, and certainly not the Ukrainian people whose so-called 'democracy' doesn't even qualify as farce. Nuland's husband is Robert Kagan, who is active in the Brookings Institute and the Carnegie Endowment for Perpetual War, both of whom are neocon Zionist Jews playing Monopoly with real countries, and it was Nuland making those decisions, one sign of where the real power lies.

It has been similarly true with the multitude of corporate crimes committed by the same invisible people, the same suspects that populate every such list, all too big to fail and too powerful to prosecute. Their political immunity and legal impunity are so entrenched today as to be almost unassailable. The 2008 financial devastation was not an accident but a boldly-planned felonious wealth transfer of

such magnitude as to deserve reclassification as treason, yet only the innocent victims will pay. Relatively minor and easily-recovered fines levied against the banks will do nothing but punish innocent shareholders. The tens of millions who lost their homes will receive nothing, while the perpetrators bask with their hundreds of billions in profits and bonuses. Once again, not a whimper of objection from the elected members of the People's Congress. The executives of the financial and other giant corporations have de facto criminal immunity for all their actions. In what other sphere do criminals redefine laws to exclude their illegal acts while the authorities watch silently and helplessly, and when it occurs that the criminals have the power to define legality as they do today in the US, is it not the criminals who are now in charge?

Some years back, Paul Findley wrote a book titled 'They Dare to Speak Out', detailing the Jewish influence and control of the US government. In his book, Findley quoted US Senator William Fulbright, then the Chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, who had conducted investigations into foreign control of his nation's government, and who wrote in 1973,

"Israel controls the Senate ... around 80% (of US Senators) are completely in support of Israel, and Jewish influence in the House of Representatives is even greater. Anything Israel wants (Israel will get). ...[Israel] is able to stifle free speech, control our Congress, and even dictate our foreign policy". In a CBS TV interview, Fulbright stated further, "The Israelis control the policy in the Congress and the Senate. I am aware how almost impossible it is in this country to carry out a foreign policy [in the Middle East] not approved by the Jews ... terrific control the Jews have over the news media and the barrage the Jews have built up on congressmen ... I am very much concerned over the fact that the Jewish influence here is completely dominating the scene and making it almost impossible to get Congress to do anything they don't approve of. The Israeli embassy is practically dictating to the congress through influential Jewish people in the country."

Fulbright stated repeatedly that the US Senate was "subservient" to Israel and the Jews, which prevented the government from applying any pressure on Israel in its atrocities toward the Palestinian people. He claimed the US possessed sufficient leverage on Israel because "we supply all the wherewithal – or a major part of the wherewithal – to finance or to pay for everything Israel does", but he added that the leverage couldn't be applied because "Israel controls the Senate". He also stated "The Senate is subservient to Israel, in my opinion much too much. We should be more concerned about the United States interest rather than doing the bidding of Israel. This is a most unusual development. The great majority of the

Senate of the United States – somewhere around 80 percent – are completely in support of Israel. This has been demonstrated time and again and this has made it difficult for our government.”

Several authors have written that the Congress’ constant display of allegiance to the Jews and Israel instead of to the US was both embarrassing and unpatriotic, especially considering that Israel is almost totally dependent on American financial and military aid. Many have further noted that the Jewish-controlled media present such a “biased and sympathetic portrayal” of Israel, and further prevent any opposing views or truths of the Palestinians’ bitter suffering, that apparently only 4% of Americans are at all aware of the Jews’ 70-year long brutal military occupation of the Palestinian people. The long history of US Congressional support for Israel led former Secretary of State James Baker to call the Congress “The Little Knesset” after Israel’s Knesset (parliament) in Jerusalem. One US Senator lamented that he had the right to criticise his own government, but no such right to criticise the government of Israel even if its actions were against the US national interest. Paul Craig Roberts wrote “When the world looks at America, what it sees is an Israeli colony”, and former Presidential candidate Pat Buchanan described Capitol Hill as “Israeli-occupied territory”.

In this context, and in a stunning combination of utopian pathology, propaganda, boldness, and irony, the US media produced a small flurry of noise in the person of Jewish-American Senator Barbara Boxer who obediently proclaimed she had been warned by the FBI that “Chinese citizens or government officials might try to contact her office ‘in an effort to influence U.S. foreign policy’”. ‘Chinese officials’ might try to influence US foreign policy? And what of the Jews’ efforts to influence US foreign policy? Not a peep from her. As one writer pointed out, “That such double standard material can be published without ironic intent is a blazing indictment of the American mass media and current political system.”

Admiral Thomas Moorer, who was Chairman of the US Joint Chiefs of Staff, said in 1983 “I’ve never seen a President stand up to the Jews. They always get what they want. If the American people understood what a grip those people have got on our government, they would rise up in arms.” It was the same Admiral Moorer, America’s highest ranking military officer, who could not get an honest investigation of the 1967 Israeli attack on the USS Liberty. For background, the Jewish military attacked an unarmed US espionage vessel in international waters, hoping to destroy it and lay the blame on Iran. The Liberty was attacked repeatedly over a span of several hours by jet aircraft and torpedo boats, killing 34 Americans and wounding another 174, but failed to sink the vessel. The Israelis



attributed the failed attack to accidental mis-identification, but all the survivors claimed that US flags were clearly flying on the ship during the attack and that in radio contact the Israelis had identified the Liberty as a US vessel. This was clearly another false-flag operation that failed in its mission by leaving survivors to testify to the truth. But the White House, on the demand of its Jewish handlers, swept the affair under the carpet to the extent that all survivors were forbidden to ever discuss the matter under pain of criminal sanction, and most were too afraid to talk even after they had retired from the US military. At the time, a White House spokesman stated that “(President) Johnson isn’t going to start a war over a few dead sailors”.

## An Unnecessary War

Walt and Mearsheimer wrote a definitive paper on the Iraq war, documenting that it was, in their words, “the Jewish lobby” that wanted – and got – the war. Libya was the same, and it is only the Jews who want the invasion and destruction of Syria and Iran, and most likely supplied the chemical weapons to the so-called Syrian rebels and provided the photo shoots as proof. Obama tried his best to oblige his handlers with an invasion of Syria even though his own military leaders disparaged the idea as crazy. Then, to save face when the plot had clearly failed, he proposed to put the matter to a vote of Congress which was where it died. It was already well-known that Congress was 80% against and that a vote would never pass, just as with the UK. All of these military engagements were not only based on preposterous lies but were reprehensibly illegal and immoral, but neither the puppets nor the puppet-masters were held to account. Moreover, the names of the puppet-masters will be nowhere recorded, a true invisible government.

In this July 22, 2016, file photo, convicted spy Jonathan Pollard, left, with his lawyer, Eliot Lauer, leaves federal court in New York following a hearing.

Convicted spy Jonathan Pollard (left) leaves federal court in 2016 with his lawyer, Eliot Lauer. Pollard was arrested in 1985. | AP Photo/Larry Neumeister

In 2001, an Israeli cabinet meeting was broadcast on Israel Radio, during which a disagreement arose between Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon and his Foreign Minister Shimon Peres. Peres was warning Sharon that Israel should heed American demands in their dealings with the Palestinians and that Sharon’s obstinacy would endanger Israeli interests and “turn the US against us.” Sharon replied to Peres, “Every time we do something you tell me Americans will do this and will do that. I want to tell you something very clear, don’t worry about

American pressure on Israel. We, the Jewish people, control America, and the Americans know it.” Also in 2001, the Jewish Prime Minister Netanyahu openly mocked the US on Israeli television, saying “I know what America is. America is a thing you can move very easily, move it in the right direction. They won’t get in the way.” And we have Netanyahu again when he was visiting Jonathan Pollard, the American Jew who was convicted as a traitor and spy for leaking volumes of US military secrets to Israel. On leaving Pollard’s prison cell, Netanyahu was quoted as having said, “Once we squeeze all we can out of the United States, it can dry up and blow away”.

In July and August of 2014, when Israel was conducting yet another savage offensive against the Palestinians, the Wall Street Journal reported that White House and State Department officials were taken by surprise to learn that the Israeli military had been securing large supplies of weapons and ammunition directly from their friends in the Pentagon, without the knowledge or approval of the White House. Both Jewish and American officials said this was yet one more indication of how little influence the White House and State Department had in terms of extractions the Jews can make from the US, the President and his White House staff essentially finding themselves on the outside looking in. The Journal reported that Israeli officials considered Obama to be weak and naive, and were just bypassing him to deal directly with the Pentagon and Congress to satisfy their wishes. They apparently said they weren’t concerned about tensions with the White House or a failure to obtain Obama’s approval for anything because he would soon be gone anyway, and they could just outwait him. There have been other incidents of this kind, one where the Jewish lobby managed to get possession of the only squadron of American’s most highly developed aircraft which had been obtained for the US military at great expense and internal lobbying. A Defense Department official apparently told the Israelis that to ship those aircraft to Israel would be politically impossible, given the very difficult circumstances of their purchase. He claimed the Israeli response was to say, “Just get the aircraft ready. We’ll take care of Congress.” And they did. The aircraft were shipped to Israel, and the Americans did without.

3/29/1979-New York, NY: Senators Daniel P. Moynihan (I) and Jacob Javits look on as Israel Prime Minister Menachem Begin acknowledges applause 3/28 before addressing a gathering of Jewish leaders.

On November 25, 1977 the Jewish Press ran an article titled ‘US foreign policy is now based on how foreign countries treat their native Jews’. For background, a Russian Jew named had become seditious and troublesome to the Russian

government and had been imprisoned. The Jews were crying for his release. The article noted that US Senators Moynihan and Javits of New York, two ardent Zionists, notified the Soviet Government that grain shipments from the US would be cancelled if the Russians failed to release Sharansky. He was released and deported to Israel. Consider for a moment the depth of the Jewish influence that would result in two Senators taking upon themselves the authority to decide and dictate a serious US foreign policy mandate to another nation on a trivial matter, and without legislative or executive authorisation.

Helen Thomas (right) walks behind President Richard Nixon and a large group in China on February 1, 1972.

US Journalist Helen Thomas, who covered the White House for more than six decades, was forced to resign from her position at Hearst News after saying in an interview that Jews should “get the hell out of Palestine”. In a published interview, Thomas said that Jews have “total control” over the White House and US Congress, that “it’s not a secret. It’s very open ... Everybody is in the pocket of the Israeli lobbies, which are funded by wealthy supporters, including those from Hollywood. Same thing with the financial markets. There’s total control. We are owned by the propagandists against the Arabs. There’s no question about that. Congress, the White House and Hollywood, Wall Street, are owned by the Zionists. We’re being pushed into a wrong direction in every way.”

It is even much worse than the above paragraphs suggest. Today, it is virtually impossible for a politician to be elected in the US without first declaring his (or her) undying allegiance to Israel and the Jews, and certainly any suggestion of a criticism of either Israel or the Jews will guarantee the end of a political career. I am unaware of any US Senator or Congressman in recent times who has been elected without first proclaiming an unconditional support for, and loyalty to, not the US, but Israel. This is so true that in the last US Presidential election, the candidates for the American presidency were campaigning not in the US but in Israel. Mitt Romney was campaigning heavily in Israel, hoping to win the US election that way, and other US politicians have done the same.

Democratic presidential candidate Hillary Clinton speaks during the AIPAC Policy Conference in Washington on March, 2016. (Jim Watson / AFP/Getty Images)

Similarly, Hillary Clinton who harbored hopes of becoming US President, began her preparatory campaigning at the American Jewish Committee and AIPAC, where she presented as credentials her past efforts to assist Israel in protecting its

military supremacy in the Middle East, and by her apparent willingness to start World War Three by attacking Iran to remove Israel's last stumbling block to military supremacy.

President Shimon Peres awarded President Obama with the Presidential Medal of Distinction for his unique contribution to the security of the State of Israel.

In March of 2013, in an astonishing display of arrogant power, Israel's President Shimon Peres presented the country's highest civilian honor, the Presidential Medal of Distinction, to US President Obama for being a good boy. And every US President must appear for the obligatory photo-shoot while wearing a yarmulke at the wailing wall, being humiliatingly paraded like a performing monkey for the amusement of the Emperor.

In early 2014 Jeff Blankfort wrote an article titled 'Rendering Unto AIPAC', in which he included this paragraph:

"Early in their campaigns, every viable candidate on the Democratic or Republican ticket will receive a visit from an AIPAC staff member requesting a statement describing his or her current position on the US-Israel relationship. For those who are short on words, AIPAC will gladly provide assistance. These statements are then made available to pro-Israel donors in the candidate's district as well as to a long list of donors throughout the country. In exchange for what is invariably a pledge of loyalty to Israel, AIPAC will see that the candidate will have no shortage of volunteers and funds, although these will not come directly from AIPAC. It was, however, the spectacle of watching Obama, Hillary Clinton, and McCain, the three individuals who were vying to become the leader of the world's only superpower, joined by House and Senate leaders of both parties, humbling themselves before the AIPAC audience, that led veteran journalist, Arnaud de Borchgrave, to reveal to readers an elemental truth about the US political process and the decision-making parameters available to the next American president."

Arnaud de Borchgrave's "truth" in the Times article, was this: "Once a year, the Israel lobby in Washington known as AIPAC holds its annual convention where anyone who is anyone in the political world comes to render fealty, rather than homage. (For those who don't know, 'fealty' is subservient obedience and loyalty of a peasant to his feudal lord and master: Ed) It has become a political rite of passage, like a medieval contract ... Anyone who doesn't pass the litmus test can forget about becoming president of the United States, or senator or even congressman."

Blankfort also detailed how, in another flashy display of Jewish political power and control of American politics, the Jewish billionaire casino owner Sheldon Adelson “summoned” the several most likely candidates for the next Presidential election, in order to “,” one of them. Blankfort noted that all obeyed the summons and groveled before their lord, “doing their best to sell themselves like applicants at a job interview“. Adelson apparently interrogated each ambitious candidate and demanded from each “First of all and above everything else, blind and unconditional obedience to the government of another state: Israel”.

This again brings us back to Bernays who, in his book ‘Propaganda‘, wrote almost 100 years ago, “... it is well-known that (a Presidential candidate) may be decided upon by half a dozen men sitting around a table in a hotel room”. The bald truth is that ‘the people’ in America have never had any useful influence on their precious democratic process, due to the power of the political parties which are controlled by the same secret government. As I mentioned elsewhere, voting by itself is irrelevant because all the power resides in those who select the candidates who are in turn pre-selected for their ideology and their willingness to be controlled. It should be clear that if I have the power to select the candidates, your votes will be inconsequential in terms of the final result for either domestic or foreign policy. Americans live in an illusion of mythology stoked by generations of extensive propaganda, firmly believing in the supremacy of a political system that is little more than a poorly-managed stage play dependent for its success on an unthinking and ignorant population. It isn’t the smart people who revere the Western multi-party political system, but the unintelligent and uninformed, the ignorant and simple-minded, who have been overwhelmed by propaganda and terminally infected with a false theology.

It is not only the ultimate selection of candidates and the necessary material assistance and financial support to ensure election that are contained in this; perhaps even more important are the ability – and vicious determination – to ensure election losses and the removal of elected candidates who fail to please their Jewish masters. Any elected member of Congress who dares to speak out against Israel’s brutal policies, who brings unfavorable attention to the Jews, or who challenges Israel’s noose around Washington’s neck will, as Blankfort noted, be either intimidated into silence or reversing their positions or will be invariably picked off in the next election. Senator Fulbright, whom I’ve mentioned above, attracted unfavorable attention with his testimony and statements about Jewish control of the US elected representatives, being described by AIPAC as “consistently unkind to Israel and our supporters in this country”, and was defeated in the next election when his AIPAC-selected opponent garnered

overwhelming attention from the Jewish media as well as campaign cash. There are other notable examples of US politicians incurring AIPAC's wrath for failure to heed the wishes of the Jews, and being subsequently "targeted by AIPAC and defeated in the next election". So many Americans live under the delusion that they, and they alone, decide who wins and who loses when in fact they are pitifully irrelevant to the process. Then we have the media, virtually entirely under Jewish control and which, except in extreme cases, can of itself determine the result of any election and the fate of any politician. As Ben Bagdikian noted, media power is political power in that only a few individuals totally control how politicians will be presented – or NOT presented – to the voting public. It therefore becomes almost impossible for electoral candidates to survive if their agenda and ideology do not conform to those of the Jews.

Blankfort related that just a few months after becoming President, Obama received letters that had been circulated by AIPAC and that had been signed by about three-fourths of all Congressmen and Senators, advising him to accommodate himself to the wishes of Israel and the Jews. The letter, apparently containing an AIPAC tag on it to reveal its true origin, "was clearly designed to send a message to the president that, as far as Congress is concerned, Israel's desires trump those of the United States." According to Blankfort, all the media were provided with copies of the letter and all chose to ignore it. Many US Presidents, Senators and Congressmen have received such communications which ultimately persuaded them to 'reassess' their positions and select a policy position more favorable to the Jews. Being a "Friend of Israel" is a necessary prerequisite to political office in most Western nations, including not only the US but Canada, the UK, Australia and more. It is neither a secret nor a surprise that Jews, Zionist zealots, and other supporters of Israel are "deeply embedded at all levels in the fabric of political life" and consequently function at the hearts of these governments. This is so true that Canada's Prime Minister Stephen Harper foolishly snubbed an appearance at the UN for the sake of appearing at a meeting of some nondescript Jewish group in the US to receive a "Man of the Year" award for his undying allegiance to Israel and the Jews.

The Jews boast openly about their political influence and power, Jewish publications bragging that they made Obama what he is, and one Jewish Zionist famously claiming "Barack Obama is the first Jewish President". James Petras wrote a useful article in which he quoted a Chicago Jewish News article claiming Obama had been "discovered" by a Jewish Zionist professor at Harvard when he was still studying law there, and decided he was "recruitable". She recounted how she enlisted the aid of family, friends, financiers, and helped obtain prestigious

employment for him, followed by introductions to a wide array of Jewish Zionists, including financial supporters, all of whom worked to ensure Obama would be permanently “embedded” in their network. Petras also noted that as a senator, Obama shared an office with a fanatical Jewish Zionist named Ira Silverstein, who later boasted of his role in ‘educating’ Obama about Jews and Israel. Obama did not appear out of the blue sky as a breath of fresh air for America; he had been specifically selected, assiduously cultivated and carefully groomed by the Jews for two decades before he was presented as a ‘choice’ to the country, and by that time it was already much too late for the people.

Mark Dubowitz

It is to these people that Obama owes his allegiance, and his first acts in office were to fill the White House and many important agencies with radical Zionists, most of whom were Jewish, and also to purge these agencies of any who failed to please AIPAC. The process is very broad and deep, and includes most visible politicians and government officials. In referring to Obama, Secretary of State Hillary Clinton and Defense Secretary Robert Gates, Petras noted they are so deeply infused with Israel-First ideology that they were “Zionized Zombies“. I would concur. Truly, few people realise the depth of Jewish influence and control in Washington, especially on US foreign policy. Israel not only badly wants to destroy Iran militarily so as to eliminate a threat to its military supremacy, but it wants the US to destroy its enemies for it and, through its US lobbying power, is in fact dictating the terms under which the US military will do so. It was the radical Jewish Zionist Mark Dubowitz, who is neither an elected nor appointed government official, who wrote much of the legislation relating to sanctions on Iran.

It is not the elected Congress and not the White House, but the secret government mostly represented by its friends in AIPAC, that determines and dictates US policy for Israel and the Middle East, and for much of the world, certainly toward Eastern Europe and especially Russia and the Ukraine. AIPAC is not the power behind the throne; rather it is the public face of that power, doing the bidding of names that will never be mentioned. In this sense, it is not different from what is loosely called ‘the Jewish lobby’, being only one arrow in a quiver that includes the Council on Foreign Relations, the Trilateral Commission, the Rockefeller, Carnegie and Ford Foundations, the US FED and the Bank of England along with other bankers, financiers like George Soros, the Hollywood studio and mass media owners, sundry other so-called NGOs, and many multi-national corporations. They

are all members of the same choir and are all singing the same song, with both lyrics and melody written by the international Jewish financiers in Europe.

President Joe Biden and Vice President Kamala Harris, joined by the Presidential Cabinet members, pose for a Cabinet portrait Thursday, April 1, 2021, in the Grand Foyer of the White House. (Official White House Photo by Adam Schultz).

An important part of this political landscape consists of organisations like the already-mentioned Trilateral Commission, which is an offshoot of the also US-based 'Council on Foreign Relations' (CFR) that the European Rothschilds created as the American version of their UK-based 'Round Table'. The CFR was created in the US for the Rothschilds by our old friend Lippman, and by Colonel Edward Mandell House who was a member of Bernays' secret government and the Jewish puppet-master of Presidents Wilson and Roosevelt. The CFR consists largely of members of America's elite ruling class and the secret government, and exerts a staggering influence over almost all aspects of American life, to say nothing of a pervasive and pernicious influence on American politics. This is so true that virtually all American presidential candidates are members of the CFR, and in fact their membership and overall approval are prerequisites for presidential candidacy. No US president will be elected, nor in fact permitted to run, without CFR permission. One US Congressman stated,

"The CFR is the establishment. Not only does it have influence and power in key decision-making positions at the highest levels of government to apply pressure from above, but it also finances and uses individuals and groups to bring pressure from below, to justify the high-level decisions for converting the US from a sovereign Constitutional Republic into a servile member of New World Order dictatorship."

This so-called council is still a subsidiary of the European Rothschild's Round Table, which was originally created by Cecil Rhodes as a mechanism for world domination, and given to Rothschild to continue, and is still controlled by the same Zionist European bankers with their "pernicious and pervasive" influence on Europe as much as on the US.

According to an official statement, "The Trilateral Commission was formed in 1973 by private citizens of Western Europe, Japan and North America to foster closer cooperation among these three regions on common problems. It seeks to improve public understanding of such problems, to support proposals for handling them jointly, and to nurture habits and practices of working together among these



regions.“ That sounds good, but the ‘private citizens’ were a secret group of seventeen very powerful people that included David Rockefeller, Zbigniew Brzezinski, McGeorge Bundy and others from the New World Order Bilderberg Group who met at Rockefeller’s New York estate to plan this monster. The Commission wasn’t created in any sense to ‘solve problems’ but to do heavy social and political engineering, dealing with the ‘crisis of democracy’ and preparing a move to authoritarian fascism. The US media, again almost entirely Jewish-owned, are silent on the CFR and the Trilateral Commission and their influence and power in the nation.

Not long ago, Israeli Prime Minister Netanyahu attempted to increase the pressure on Obama to deal harshly with Iran by bypassing the White House and the US government altogether, and appearing on a Sunday TV talk show to appeal directly to the American public. Inserting himself directly into US politics while claiming he didn’t want to insert himself into US politics, Netanyahu insisted the Americans must act quickly and forcibly to eliminate all threats to Jewish military supremacy in the Middle East. Iran, of course, is one of those threats, with Israel having been claiming for ten years that Iran was two days away from having nuclear weapons. The Jewish-controlled US media are of course silent on the one essential point which is to ask why it is okay for Israel to have nuclear weapons and be an existential threat to Iran, but it is not okay for Iran to possess the only suitable deterrent of its own weapons. Netanyahu spoke eloquently about the security of Israel but ignored the fact that Israel’s military presence and extreme belligerence threaten the security of every other nation in the Middle East. The Jewish lobby has sufficient influence that Netanyahu has on occasion demanded, and received, permission to bypass the White House and address the US Congress directly, to make more clear his expectations of them. This was neither the first nor last time an Israeli prime minister had gone over a president’s head and appealed directly to Congress or the American people.

None of this is new. Jewish influence on American politicians and in particular on the White House has existed for at least most of the last century. There is no shortage of documentation of various US presidents confiding to their staff that their Jewish handlers would shortly be informing them of the appropriate decisions and courses of action to be taken. Another quote from Findley’s book:

“When I lived in America, I learned that Jewish personalities, most of them rich donors for the parties, had easy access to the President. They used to contact him over the head of the Foreign Secretary and the representative at the United Nations

and other officials. They were often in a position to alter the entire political line by a single telephone conversation...”

Given all of this, it is long past time for everyone to stop pretending that the Jews do not control the US government, notwithstanding the inevitable condemnation as an anti-Semite for doing so. Any examination of the American political scene is obliged to recognise that the US is closer to being a Jewish state than an American one, especially considering, but by no means limited to, the treasonous allegiance by the US congress sworn to Israel and the Jews. A dog doesn't serve two masters.

Financial power is crucial to the success of this influence. A recent article noted that in the 2012 election about 30% – nearly one-third of all ‘disclosed’ political contributions came from only 31,000 donors, or 0.0001 of the population, less than one ten-thousandth. It further noted that not a single member of the House or Senate was elected without financial assistance from this group, and about 85% of these politicians received more money from this tiny group than from all their small donors combined. This tiny, but rich and powerful minority of people are “the political gatekeepers of American politics”. They decide which candidates are acceptable to them, which hold the ‘correct’ opinions and ideologies, and which will vote the way the elite want them to vote. It is not the American public who are selecting candidates to represent their interests, but this small clique buying politicians who can be trusted to carry out their agenda. The American people can do no better than vote for one of two pre-selected clones, with their choices being both inconsequential and irrelevant. By any definition of the word, democracy in America is a myth.

This influence has for long extended to appointments to a vast selection of important executive posts within many departments of government and, more often than we want to know or admit, involves elements of extortion or blackmail. Few Americans, and even fewer others, are familiar with the appointment of Louis Brandeis to the US Supreme Court, an event privately hailed by the Jews as ‘their’ first Supreme Court judge. President Wilson was approached by a Jewish lawyer, Samuel Untermyer, who claimed to have a female client who badly needed \$40,000 and who possessed a collection of passionate and indiscreet love letters sent to her by Wilson when they were illicit lovers, and was threatening to make the letters public. Untermyer, knowing Wilson had no access to a sum that large, offered to pay the \$40,000 out of his own pocket in return for a favor: Wilson would agree to recommend a person of Untermyer's choosing to the next opening on the Supreme Court. That person was Brandeis and, above strenuous public objection, Wilson pushed forcefully until the appointment was confirmed.

Untermeyer kept the Wilson love letters “to ensure they would never fall into the wrong hands”, but also to ensure his future control over Wilson. It is probably true that the appointment of many Jews to government posts have been made willingly or on merit alone, but it is also true there have been many of the other kind.

Mike Lofgren

Mike Lofgren was a high-level US congressional staff member who spent 28 years on Capitol Hill, serving on both the House and Senate budget committees, and who wrote in early 2014 a brief paper titled ‘Anatomy of the Deep State’, revealing his accumulated observations about the power behind the throne of the US government. There are many names for this secret entity that has existed for at least the past 100 years. I have followed Bernays’ lead in calling it the ‘Secret Government’; Lofgren uses the term ‘Deep State’; they are the same. He began by stating, “I use the term to mean a hybrid association of elements of government and parts of top-level finance and industry that is effectively able to govern the United States without reference to the consent of the governed as expressed through the formal political process”. I include below a few of Lofgren’s comments, edited for brevity. His intent and content are unchanged.

“There is the visible government in Washington, and then there is another, more shadowy, more indefinable government that is not explained in Civics 101 or observable to tourists at the Capitol. The former is traditional Washington partisan politics: the tip of the iceberg which is theoretically controllable via elections. The subsurface part of the iceberg I call the Deep State, which operates according to its own compass heading. (It) is another government concealed behind the one that is visible, a hybrid entity of public and private institutions ruling the country, connected to, but only intermittently controlled by, the visible state whose leaders we choose. My analysis of this phenomenon is not an exposé of a secret, conspiratorial cabal; the state within a state is hiding mostly in plain sight, and its operators mainly act in the light of day. In terms of its scope, financial resources and sheer global reach, the American hybrid state, the Deep State, is in a class by itself, (and its) protectiveness towards its higher-ranking personnel allows them to escape the consequences (of their actions).”

“The Deep State does not consist of the entire government. It is a hybrid of national security and law enforcement agencies: the Department of Defense, the Department of State, Homeland Security, the CIA, the Justice Department, certain key areas of the judiciary and a kind of rump Congress consisting of the congressional leadership and some of the defense and intelligence committees. All

these agencies are coordinated by the Executive Office of the President via the National Security Council. The Deep State does not consist only of government agencies. What is euphemistically called “private enterprise” is an integral part of its operations. Invisible threads of money and ambition connect (Washington) to other nodes. One is Wall Street, which supplies the cash that keeps the political machine quiescent and operating as a diversionary marionette theater. Should the politicians forget their lines and threaten the status quo, Wall Street floods the town with cash and lawyers to help the hired hands remember their own best interests.”

Lofgren included the Treasury Department in the Deep State because of its jurisdiction over financial flows, its enforcement of international sanctions and its organic symbiosis with Wall Street. He went on to write, “It is not too much to say that Wall Street may be the ultimate owner of the Deep State and its strategies.” And here, of course, he had it absolutely right; it is the domestic and foreign bankers who are indeed the “ultimate owners” of the Deep State, but in this he failed to include the US FED and its European owners who determine and dictate both financial and political policy to the rest.

Chinese journalist Lee Fang produced some stunning facts for a research report in which he documented that individuals often receive multi-million-dollar bonuses when they leave secretive Wall Street to enter the active, visible government. According to Fang, many large corporations who want to influence public policy give executives huge bonuses if they take jobs within the government. Among them are Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley, JP Morgan Chase, the Blackstone Group, Fannie Mae, Northern Trust. Citigroup even awards additional retirement pay upon leaving to take a “full-time high-level position with the US government or regulatory body”. The Bank of America gave Stefan Seelig more than \$9 million in bonus pay when he was nominated to become the Under Secretary of Commerce for International Trade, and Michael Froman received over \$4 million when he left Citigroup to become the current US trade representative. “The fox is groomed for the chicken coop, and the Deep State grows fat on its prey.”

Sir Montagu Norman, a Rothschild agent and Governor of the Bank of England for many years, was considered the single most influential banker in the world. In 1924, he said this in a speech to bankers in New York city: “Capital must protect itself in every possible way, both by combination and legislation. Debts must be collected, mortgages foreclosed as rapidly as possible. When, through process of law, the common people lose their homes, they will become more docile and more easily governed through the strong arm of the government applied by a central

power of wealth under leading financiers. These truths are well known among our principal men, who are now engaged in forming an imperialism to govern the world.

Lofgren was correct in claiming the Deep State is an ideology that is a kind of corporatism, but in categorising it as nameless, he didn't quite connect all the dots. It does indeed have a name – the New World Order. The Deep State is extremely Right-Wing, primarily Jewish, and fervently Zionist. Many people have described portions of it, but approach from too many points of view: corporate domination, human rights, banking, surveillance, politics. It is all of these, and none of them. It is as much about the Bush's enormous landholdings in Brazil or the Rothschilds setting up a new bank to hold lands extorted from developing countries as it is about health care or the housing crisis or the NSA and DHS. This is about a small group of powerful individuals working steadily to build a farm where the people of the world are the cattle. The Deep State, the Secret Government, the New World Order, are all names and faces of the same entity which is at its core an international fascist dictatorship, with the security state and its internment camps forming an increasingly integral part.

Lofgren wrote, "Now, the actors in this drama tend to steer clear of social issues. They pretend to be merrily neutral servants of the state, giving the best advice possible on national security or financial matters. Their preferred pose is that of the politically neutral technocrat offering well considered advice based on profound expertise (but) that is nonsense (since) they are deeply dyed in the hue of the official ideology of the governing class." Consider his words adjacent to those quoted earlier which argued that "power should shift from contentious, ideologically diverse elected bodies subject to pressure from the 'lower orders', toward credentialed "experts" operating in Washington, Brussels or the United Nations." Different words, same meaning. Lofgren stated further that these individuals possess a deep ideology which he called "the red thread" that runs through both the Washington consensus and the war on terror, embracing the financialisation and de-industrialisation of the US economy, outsourcing, deregulation and privatisation, the commoditisation of labor and "American exceptionalism abroad, which is boots on the ground everywhere; it's our right to meddle everywhere in the world. And the result of that is perpetual war". He also noted that it included the rise of a plutocratic social structure and political dysfunction, and I would add the security state and its brutal suppression of political dissent, as well as the perpetual 'war on terror' to increasingly justify the withdrawal of all civil rights.

“The Deep State is the big story of our time. (It) is a government within a government that operates off the visible government and operates off the taxpayers. But it doesn’t seem to be constrained in a constitutional sense by the government. The Deep State, based on the twin pillars of national security imperative and corporate hegemony, has until recently seemed unshakable. It is so heavily entrenched, so well protected by surveillance, firepower, money and its ability to co-opt resistance that it is almost impervious to change.”

Lofgren went on to say that the Deep State’s physical expansion and consolidation of power “would seem to make a mockery of the frequent pronouncement that governance in Washington is dysfunctional and broken”. While the US government was laying off staff, forcing unpaid vacations, closing all tourist facilities for lack of funds, there was no shortage of cash to destroy Libya or attempt the destruction of Syria, nor the \$2 billion to build the NSA data storage center. He wrote “That the secret and unaccountable Deep State floats freely above the gridlock ... is the paradox of American government in the 21st century: drone strikes, data mining, secret prisons and Panopticon-like control on the one hand; and on the other, the visible parliamentary institutions of self-government declining to the status of a banana republic amid the gradual collapse of public infrastructure.” He said it is true that US government is dysfunctional and broken, that it no longer works, “but somehow Obama can go into Libya. He can assassinate US citizens. He can collect all our phone records. He can even bring down a jet carrying a president of a sovereign country without asking anyone’s permission. And no one seems to connect the two, the failure of our visible constitutional state and this other government that operates according to no constitutional rules or any constraint by the governed.”

But these people are not interested in either government or governing, in the traditional sense of meaning of these words. They are instead arranging the parasitic extraction of wealth from the population, and want the people to remain under control. Beyond that, they have little interest. These people are vampires. They are parasites. They are venal and extractive. Lofgren again:

“The Deep State has been extracting value from the American people in vampire-like fashion. While it seems to float above the constitutional state, its essentially parasitic, extractive nature means that it is still tethered to the formal proceedings of governance. They are extracting revenue from the American people everywhere they can find a revenue stream: public schools, housing, prisons, infrastructure, pipelines, fracking, oil and gas exploration, Social Security, privatization of health insurance and health care.”

We should not proceed further without noting here that this Deep State or Secret Government is the source of the destruction of the social contract that governed the US after the Second World War. It is these people who are responsible for the gutting of the American economy, for outsourcing, deindustrialisation, the destruction of labor, the evisceration of the middle class, and so much more. It is they who have been responsible, through their ownership of the US FED (and other nations' central banks) for all the economic booms and busts during the past more than 100 years, extracting enormous wealth from the population at each cycle. It is they who have launched the class war on all but the elites in the US, Canada and all of Western Europe. It is they who arranged the US\$5 billion investment in overthrowing successive governments in Ukraine, and it is they who want yet again the destruction of Russia. They are also responsible for virtually all the interference in China's internal affairs and the efforts to derail China's progress. There are dangers lurking here so great as to be almost impossible to overestimate, and to ignore or dismiss them would be foolhardy in the extreme.

## The Power of Lobbie

Frederic Bastiat wrote "When plunder becomes a way of life for a group of men living together in society, they create for themselves in the course of time a legal system that authorizes it and a moral code that glorifies it", a statement perfectly describing the US today. Not so many years ago, appeals to government to oppose, propose, or amend legislation or regulations, were public matters conducted openly and on the record. Clandestine visits to elected members of a government or its senior civil servants with the intention of swaying legislation and regulations in terms favorable to the visitor were termed "influence-peddling" and considered a felony generally rewarded by a prison sentence. No longer. Today, influence-peddling is the fastest-growing industry in America, and is no longer a crime but a profession with high salaries that has changed its name to 'lobbying'.

## Jack Abramoff

One of America's most powerful political game-makers and lobbyists, Jack Abramoff, was eventually sent to prison for his imaginative lobbying activities, one of which involved collecting about \$80 million in lobbying fees from American Indian tribes while collecting other fees on opposite sides of the same issues, cheating all his clients equally. A recent news article noted that most American lobbying firms employ family members of US Senators and Congressmen to increase their success ratio, and almost half of all politicians who fail re-election become lobbyists. As someone so nicely wrote, "This means the

wife is lobbying the husband, the father is lobbying his son, and the hooker is lobbying her customer.” This is one of the true faces of democracy in all Western nations today.

The legalisation of lobbying was meant only to simplify the process of influencing and corrupting US elected officials, permitting corruptive influences to function openly in the light. Few seem to recognise that this has been a substantial degenerative influence on all levels of government in America, corrupting not only the White House and the politicians in Congress but most officials at the State level and virtually all regulatory bodies, the FDA certainly among them. The secret government, with its army of lobbyists carrying their armloads of cash, have succeeded in subverting almost every meaningful department of the US government. Monsanto’s paid lobbyists succeeded in Congress creating legislation prohibiting information on GM food content on consumer packaging. The powerful lobbyists of General Motors and some major oil companies succeeded in George Bush’s White House joining their lawsuit against the State of California to destroy the future of electric automobiles in the US.

Through this same lobbying network, the FED and the bankers succeeded in having Clinton and the Congress remove all banking regulations, resulting in not only obscene profits being vacuumed from the public but permitting them to profit to the extent of trillions of dollars from the 2008 financial crisis as well as the bailout. It was Robert Rubin, Clinton’s Jewish-American Treasury Secretary who was instrumental in abolishing the Glass Steagal act which allowed commercial and investment banks to merge. One of the biggest beneficiaries of this change was CitiGroup, which promptly entered investment banking and hired Rubin as an ‘advisor’, paying him more than \$125 million for his sterling efforts on their behalf. The same lobbyists, with the same ready cash and political influence, then succeeded in obtaining \$7.7 trillion to bail out the banks after the implosion, leaving the people to fend for themselves.

The same secret government and their corporate lobbyists obtained the tax concessions that permitted them to offshore and outsource most of US industry, profiting hugely themselves while hollowing out the entire nation and permanently dislodging tens of millions of jobs. These same people acted with astonishing vengeance and lust to destroy what may have been the last hope of a universal healthcare plan for Americans, solely to fill their own pockets. This is the reason public corporations are now classed as people who will take the blame and pay the penalties (if any) for white-collar crime, excusing the elites and their corporate managers who should all be hung for felonies and treason. It is primarily due to



the powerful lobby of the NRA that the US is the most heavily-armed society in the world with the most abominable tally of gun crimes. These same lobbyists have succeeded in freeing the pharmaceutical industry from any liability, even for what amounts to mass murder, and even when their drug trials are proven to have been totally fabricated.

The US Food and Drug Administration has been totally corrupted by the lobbyists and their masters, as have most all regulatory bodies with responsibility for everything from auto safety to banking, from the stock exchanges to agriculture, from drugs and medications to building standards and airports. The US Agriculture Department has abandoned virtually all responsibility for public safety, and nobody is any longer watchful of the chemical contamination of ground water in the East or the reckless and soon-fatal destruction of the aquifers in the arid US Southwest. Most industries, not only banking but more importantly food and medications, are now 'self-regulated', which means no regulation whatever and with the freedom to commit crimes and plunder society without the nuisance of laws or moral restraint.

Of course, the Jewish lobby is widely recognised as being the most pervasive and powerful in the US, with by far the most influence on not only the White House and Congress, but in the military and espionage communities, the judiciary and other areas. The Jewish lobby not only controls US foreign policy in the Middle East, but ensures Israel's continued receipt of more than \$3 billion each year in cash and military grants. This last alone serves to ensure Israel's pervasive military threat over the entire Middle East as well as a rich supply of the latest in American weapons technology. Without this enormous sum of money, Israel would be of no more political consequence than Dubai. The Jewish lobby, combined with its friends at the FED, the mostly-Jewish American bankers and industrialists, has likely contributed most to the outsourcing and hollowing-out of American business, and certainly for the financial disaster in 2008. It has been adequately documented that this Jewish lobby was entirely responsible for the US military destruction of both Iraq and Libya, the US military being used as a private army for this lobby group and the secret government.

AIPAC has been mentioned earlier as the largest and most visible of the Jewish lobby groups but there are many dozens, if not hundreds, in the US, operating at all levels of the country. It is due to the lobbying of one or another Jewish group that all traces of Christmas, including even a Christmas tree, are being removed from American public schools on the basis that Jews are "offended" by the celebration of Christian festivals. Given the extensive penetration into every aspect

of American government and regulatory body, and its progress over generations, it is probably impossible to trace the total effects of this one group on the nation. Certainly, much of this influence has been both expensive and disastrous for the US and the people, the wars and debts alone justifying condemnation, to say nothing of the fact that, through this powerful lobby, the US is financing and providing the weapons for the immense tragedy in Palestine. Americans have no cause for pride in supporting this thoroughly evil hijacking of a nation and the slow genocide of its people simply to please the Jews who form less than 2% of the US population.

There is no way to argue that this development of secret lobbying and even more secret cash is of any benefit to the US as a nation, because it effectively prevents any consideration of the good of the country or its people. Others have written that the US government is virtually paralysed by all the pressure and payments from this lobbying culture, increasingly directing itself only to the benefit of a relatively few bankers and industrialists who are becoming wealthy beyond the dreams of avarice while destroying the goose that is laying the golden eggs. Many writers, myself included, argue that the US today has the most dysfunctional government in the world, but that accusation is not strictly accurate. Writing of the death of Trayvon Martin, Robin Kelley said that justice was always going to elude Martin, not because the system failed, but because it worked, and Bonnie Kerness made precisely the same point in writing of the private prison system. Similarly, it is not that the US system of government doesn't work; the problem is that it is working exactly as intended. The intent, from the late 1970s, was to concentrate power in the White House while emasculating Congress, then take full control of the White House and therefore the entire government system. The secret government simultaneously created two sets of laws – one for themselves and the other for society, while freeing themselves of all tax, legal and moral liability. The overall objective was to plunder the American economy and the American people, avariciously draining the public trough and the assets of the citizens, without apparent limit or restraint.

To be even more clear, the intent, from the very beginning, was to drain both the US government and the American people to the point of bankruptcy, to the stage where there was nothing more to steal. It was not precisely an attempt to eviscerate the American middle class but to gut all of America. The only survivors will be the relative handful of elites and the small educated management group who function as their lieutenants – the MBAs and lawyers at Monsanto and the young Ph.Ds. at the Carnegie and Ford Foundations or the Rand Corporation. The rest of the nation is disposable. Israel's Prime Minister Netanyahu said, "Once we squeeze all we

can out of the United States, it can dry up and blow away”. The word “suck” would have been more appropriate than “squeeze”, but the result is probably the same. In this matter as in so many others, the public are blind, not so much to the causes of their nation’s manifold miseries, but to the intent. It isn’t so much that people cannot see, as that they don’t want to believe what they see. As Hitler said so correctly when commenting on the big lies being told about the German people by the Jews, people don’t want to believe that others could do these things and, even when faced with incontrovertible facts, will still doubt and waver and continue to think there may be some other explanation.

No American wants to believe that a morally-righteous American corporation like Mattel or Apple, GM or P&G, would deliberately lobby the government for an offshore tax break while secretly planning to destroy millions of jobs in the US, trashing their own country for the sake of their own profits. But that is precisely what happened. The offshoring and outsourcing of American jobs were not an accident; they were the plan. If we only think, it could not have been otherwise. The 2008 financial crisis was similarly not an accident but a plan, with the evidence in the open wherever we care to look. For Americans, the problem is a compound one in that they must not only realise that their own famous corporations in which they take such patriotic pride, have in fact betrayed them, and that this betrayal was part of a deliberate plan, but also that the plan was conceived and executed in cooperation with their own government. Few Americans have the insight or courage to face this most difficult of realisations – that their government conspired to betray them and has in fact been doing so for many decades. It was not for nothing that George Bush Sr. said, “If the people knew what we were doing, they would hang us in the streets.”

The propaganda machine has so successfully instilled the foolishness of a morally-sanctified “democracy” so deeply into the American psyche that it has become a virtual self-definition of the concept of American identity, with this silly faith forming life’s only anchor. To now face a reality where democracy of the people equals betrayal of the people, is an existential threat, a collapse of the American identity. The entire narrative has been based on this hysterical political religion which has always been a lie, with the proof in overwhelming evidence no more so than today. David Brooks wrote in the NYT that “Americans have lost faith in the credibility of their political system, which is the one resource the entire regime is predicated upon. The people are anxious, pessimistic, ashamed, helpless and defensive.” Of course. The question is why this process took so long.